

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

I. PRIOR ART MATTERS

A. The Advisory Action rejected claim 17 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Bankstahl. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

A single prior art reference anticipates a claimed invention only if it discloses each and every claim element.¹

Bankstahl does not disclose jaws that pivot, rotate, and tilt independently of the housing.

Claim 17 is therefore allowable.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claims 1-11, 16, and 18-22 are allowed.

For the above reasons, Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of all claims and the issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 18 MAR 04

By 
Gerald E. Helget (Reg. No. 30,948)
Nelson R. Capes (Reg. No. 37,106)
Briggs and Morgan, P.A.
2200 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 977-8480

¹ *Structural Rubber Prod. Co. v. Park Rubber Co.*, 749 F.2d 707, 223 USPQ 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1984)